1	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
2	
3	
4	MEETING OF THE
5	NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL
6	
7	
8	Auditorium James Forrestal Building
9	L'Enfant Plaza
10	Washington, D. C. Wednesday, June 11, 1980
11	
12	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
13 -	
14	
15	
16	
17	Reported by: Phyllis P. Young
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

23

24

1	The meeting convened at	9:05 a.m., pursuant to		
2	notice, Chairman C. H. Murphy, Jr.	, presiding.		
3	• 7			
4	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY STAFF:	·		
5	HONORABLE CHARLES W. DUN Secretary of Energy	CAN, JR.		
6	<u> </u>			
7	HONORABLE RUTH M. DAVIS Assistant Secretary Resource Applications			
8	COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING: (See Ap	pendix for complete		
9		ship list and affiliation.		
10	C. H. MURPHY, JR. Ch	airman		
11		ce Chairman		
12				
13	.	airman, Unconventional s Sources		
14	•	airman, Refinery		
15	JACK M. ALLEN			
16	ROBERT O. ANDERSON			
17	JOHN A. CARVER, JR.			
18	FRED C. CHAMBERS			
19	COLLIS P. CHANDLER, JR.	·		
20	ROY T. DURST			
21	JAMES H. EVANS			
22	· 1			
23	JOHN E. FAHERTY			
24	C. C. GARVIN, JR.			
25	JAMES F. GARY			

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

1,	MELVIN H. GERTZ
2	MAURICE F. GRANVILLE
3	DAVID B. GRAHAM
4	JAKE L. HAMON
5	H. J. HAYNES
6	ROBERT A. HEFNER, III
7	H. D. HOOPMAN
8	MARY HUDSON
9	THOMAS L. KIMBALL
10	D. A. MC GEE
11	DAVID C. MASSELLI
12	F. R. MAYER
13	C. JOHN MILLER
14	ROBERT MOSBACHER
15	JOHN H. MURRELL
16	IRA S. NORDLICHT
17	R. L. O'SHIELDS
18	T. BOONE PICKENS, JR.
19	FRANK L. PITTS
20	JAMES C. ROSAPEPE
21	ROBERT V. SELLERS
22	J. J. SIMMONS, JR.
23	ROBERT E. THOMAS
24	JOHN F. WARREN
2 5	LEE C. WHITE

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL STAFF:				
2	MARSHALL W. NICHOLS	Executive Director			
3	MEMBERS SENDING ALTERNATES: (Se	ee Appendix for complete embership list and affiliation)			
5	RAWLEIGH WARNER, JR.	ROBERT B. ANDREWS			
6	J. N. WARREN	BILL L. BRANNAN			
7	JOHN A. KANEB	JOHN BUCKLEY			
8	FRED L. HARTLEY	E. W. COLE			
9	ROBERT F. GOSS	FRANK COLLINS			
10	E. H. CLARK, JR.	JAMES DRESHER			
11	R. E. BAILEY	F. E. ELLIS			
12	THEODORE SHYDER, JR.	BROCK EVANS			
13 .	GEORGE F. KIRBY	BARNET GROTEN			
14	F. D. GOTTWALD, JR.	ART HELWIG			
15	LEON HESS	PHIL KRAMER			
16	JOSEPH H. WILLIAMS	VERNON T. JONES			
17	THEODORE A. BURTIS	JOHN OLSEN			
18	JOHN E. SWEARINGEN	W. R. PEIRSON			
19	JAMES L. KETELSEN	CLIFFORD W. RACKLEY			
20	I. JON BRUMLEY	s. GORDON REESE, JR.			
21	FRANK E. FITZSIMMONS	DONALD F. RODGERS			
22	W. F. MARTIN	CARSTENS SLACK			
2 3	PETER MAC DONALD	ED GEBRIEL			
24	ROBERT E. YANCEY	LLOYD BUSCH			
25	RUTH J. HINERFELD	ISABELLE WEBER			
	NEAL R. GROSS				

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

ROBERT STEWART PETERSON GETTY (See Appendix for complete member-MEMBERS NOT ATTENDING: ship list and affiliation) The following reports were received, issued or approved by the Committee: Refinery Flexibility Progress Report Unconventional Gas Sources Interim Report The meeting was open to the public and the public was invited to participate or make comments at 11:10 a.m. 42 members of the public were in attendance.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2		PAGE NO.
3	Call to Order by Chairman Murphy	7. 7
4	Remarks of Honorable Charles W. Duncan, Jr.	÷9
	Reports of Study Committees of NEP	
5	Down	34
6		s 40
7	b. Committee on Unconventional Gas Source	
8	Reports of Administrative Committees	5 3
9	a. Report of Agenda Committee	
10	b. Report of Finance Committee	61
11	c. Report of Special Sommittee	64
12	d. Report of Nominating Committee	81
13	Adjournment	84
14	y m ≥	:
15	And the second s	
16		
17		(
18		
19		
2 0		
21		
22		
23		
24		

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW

II

0

((

(202) 234-4433

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. This, the 79th meeting of the National Petroleum Council, will come to order.

Ladies and gentlemen, you have before you a copy of this morning's agenda. Presumably, we will have a check-in system to constitute a reasonable record of attendance this morning. So, I will ask the secretary to see that that is done. If you have not checked in, please do so.

At the head table, I will introduce the people. As you see them, to my left, Marshall Nichols, Executive Director of the Council, Harold Haynes, our Vice Chairman, and we are very glad to have with us this morning, the Honorable Ruth Davis, Assistant Secretary of Energy for Resource Application.

Dr. Davis, it is special to have you here. We have already begun to work with you, and feel that you are equipped for this task, and I am sure you will respond to it. If you would like to say something, we will be attentative at this moment.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY DAVIS: Let me just comment, Charlie, that this is the first time I have had the opportunity to meet with this Council. My office does have a responsibility for liaison and support of the Petroleum

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Council's activities with the Department. I think it is an area of interaction between the Department and industry not only important for the historical precedence you have set and for contribution, but it is more important now than it ever has been.

I am quite convinced that our ability to achieve the diversified energy supply that the secretary has said is one of the goals dependent upon the very important increased development in the petroleum area, and it is going to be my responsibility, and has been, to aggressively pursue the supply side of the energy security and the energy policy of the Department, so I'm going to be looking forward to working with you in a very interactive and a very intensive way.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Dr. Davis.

The Secretary has appointed some new members who are present this morning, Mr. John E. Faherty of New Orleans, President of Crown Oil and Chemical Company; Mr. David B. Graham who is General Counsel of The Velsicol Chemical Company. I believe these gentlemen are present.

We welcome you to the affairs of the Council.

(Applause.)

I believe Mr. Nordlicht has come in.
(Applause.)

To your far left is John Bookout, Chairman of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

(

(

(

the Unconventional Gas Study, who will make a report later;
Mr. McAfee, Chairman of the Refinery Flexibility.

Now we come to the piece de resistance, on my

Now we come to the piece de resistance, on my right, the Honorable Charles W. Duncan, Secretary of Energy, to whom we are responsible. Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Thank you very much, Mr.

Murphy. I would like to second the remarks Ruth Davis

made about the employments of the Council to our delibera
tions of decisions and the seriousness with which we take

the work.

As I told the Chairman, we are interested in the two reports we are going to be hearing about this morning. I would like to take this opportunity to compliment Mr. Murphy on the leadership that he has given the Council.

This is a tremendously valuable resource for the Department of Energy and all Americans, who are beneficiaries of what you do. And I just want to register this morning, my keen appreciation for your duties. I know it is time-consuming, it involves a lot of your people and involves a very tangible commitment, and it is extremely worthwhile, in my judgment.

The Council has now completed the studies that
were requested by Secretary Schlesinger on materials and
manpower and on storage and transportation capacities.
These were very good studies and they have been very widely

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(,

(

(

{

.

(202) 234-4433

_

(202) 234-4433

circulated throughout government, and they have been extremely helpful.

I know we will be hearing this morning, reports on the Unconventional Gas Study, and that some three portions of that study and an interim study are going to be available to be given to us this month. The two final sections, I believe, will be later this year, and we look forward to that because has the potential of being a tremendous resource for this country.

I am particularly interested in the Refinery
Policy Study. As we move on later in the year, Congress
is going to be very active in this area. We have already
had some discussion with people in the Congress that have
an interest in the area, and I can assure you that this
study is going to play an important part in forming our
own personal attitudes about this issue.

I was so interested in how this was moving that I asked for an interim report and have received that some few weeks ago. I have recently asked for three additional studies from the National Petroleum Council, in three very important areas and, over the next 18 months, I am going to be very interested in seeing how those progress.

The first is Arctic exploration and development, to look at the potential we have in the Arctic for gas exploration and to look at what the barriers are. We have

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

asked for an analysis of the potential, the problems, the prospects and the recommended steps for realizing the potential in this area, and we want the government to play a positive role in facilitating that development, and I think that your study can help us do that, and can help us learn how to move in the right direction.

The second study that I've asked for is environmental conservation, and that is really an updating of the study that you did in 1971 on the conservation aspects of the petroleum industry. That was a very comprehensive report, it was a very good report, but a lot has happened since 1971.

The scope and extent of environmental law and regulation has changed very significantly, and I think the petroleum producer today faces a completely different world in this area, and I think it is important that we update that study in a comprehensive way. I am sure we can benefit by the conclusions in the study.

The last is emergency preparedness planning. I have asked the Council to continue its long tradition of analytic support for emergency preparedness planning, to help cope, to help us think about how to cope with possible interruptions of petroleum imports.

On two previous occasions, one in 1974 and one in 1975, the NPC has given us a substantial analytic report

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

(

(-

,

.

0

that was very heavily relied on by DOE's predecessor agency, and by the Congress in developing emergency preparedness plans.

We have asked you to work very closely with Mr.

Bart House, who is the person in our organization that we have assigned to handle this responsibility, and I am sure that the interaction is going to be very helpful, and that the study is going to be very helpful.

I didn't have any really formal comments to make today, and I don't intend to make a speech on petroleum business. That is something you gentlemen know as much or more about than I do, but there are just one or two points I would like to make very briefly, before we go into the Q and A session.

It is my judgment, and I hope I am accurate when I say that the energy program is beginning to work, and it is beginning to work particularly because of the President's decision to decontrol the price of crude oil.

We have created an incentive to conserve, which is long overdue, and we have achieved substantial conservation this year compared to the same period of time in 1979. Oil imports are down about a million barrels a day, which is around 12 percent; consumption of gasoline is down around 600,000 barrels a day, around 8 percent.

And we have also created an environment for more

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

(

(

(

, (

exploration even with the "disincentive" of the windfall profits tax.

I was told that as of Monday of this week, the source said that there were 2,806 drilling rigs active in America on Monday of this week, and that's more than at anytime since December of 1956. So, there is more drilling activity in the U.S. today than there has been in 24 years.

The decontrol of crude oil prices has also created the incentive for the development of alternative fuels, and American industry is beginning to respond.

There's a lot of momentum there.

We don't have synthetic fuels plans on-stream today except on gasohol, but there is a lot of momentum — oil shale, coal gasification, coal liquefaction, unconventional gas are things that you hear more and more about, and I would anticipate there is going to be tremendous momentum in 1981, 1982 and through the decade of the '80s.

The legislative program is moving towards conclusion. I think the three major pieces of legislation are already out of Conference, either are now law or are in final stages of drafting — that's the Energy Mobilization Board, the windfall profits tax, Senate Bill 932, the comprehensive energy legislation involving the synthetic fuels corporation and conservation titles and many other

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

very well through the Senate. I think that's a very important piece of legislation and, of course, we will have to beef up again, to get it moving through the House.

very briefly is the international area. We've been working very hard with both the producing and the consuming nations around the world, through the mechanism of the International Energy Agency, to try to get them to go along with programs respecting conservation, programs respecting the development of alternatives, programs respecting imposing limitations on the amount of oil we import, so that the effective actions that we might take here in America are not eroded by their inaction or their taking less stringent action in some of their countries.

If we do a remarkable job in reducing our petroleum imports and they continue to expand their imports, that can erode the effectiveness, obviously, of what we can do, and our collective dependence on foreign oil is very important.

The results of the OPEC meeting in Algiers are still not perfectly clear. I've talked about it quite a bit this morning, and some of you may have more information on that than I do, but my information is as follows: The communique is ambiguous, but one thing is perfectly clear

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

to me and that is that any price increase, based on traditional economic criteria, is not justified at this time.

The actions of some of these OPEC countries cannot be regarded as being responsible — those that agreed to take these price increases. I am told that Qatar has gone up \$2 effective immediately, that Kuwait is going up \$2 effective July 1, that Venezuela has announced it will go up \$2 but without any date, and that Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates have announced that they are not willing to increase prices.

Algeria and Libya, of course, are two keys because they are at the higher end of the range, and the
decision respecting price agreed to by most of the
countries was that they would go to the market price of
\$32 and then have a \$5 range over and above that price,
so the issue then becomes, what is going to happen to the
exploration premiums in Algeria and Libya because that
would take them beyond the \$37 if those exploration premiums are recognized.

They also announced the timing of future meetings, which might be of interest to this group. Mr. Yamani was instructed to develop and action plan for the long-term strategy meeting which will take place, I'm told, in September.

There will be a meeting of the heads of state

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

3

of the OPEC countries, which is currently scheduled for Baghdad November 4th to the 6th, and they've set the date for the OPEC ministerial meeting, the traditional December meeting, to take place on December 15th in Kumbawa.

The positions reportedly taken -- and I underline the word "reportedly" because I'm not that certain of
my facts -- by Saudi Arabia and the UAE on both price and
production, and the positions they held throughout the
meeting are very reasonable and very responsible, in my
judgment.

In the various discussions that I've had with the moderate producers, while they sense a responsibility to facilitate this transition from oil dependence towards what I call energy diversification, and they know that it is in their economic disinterest, their national security disinterest, that there be economic chaos or economic recession or that the western world fall into economic disarray because of the continued increases of oil prices that we have seen.

One thing that I have noted, too, in the international area both on the part of producing countries and consuming countries, is their recognition of the fact that we are really beginning to move with our energy program both in conservation and the development of alternatives at the International Energy Agency meeting in Paris several

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

4(

weeks ago and discussion that I've had, that I'll continue to have over time with various producing countries.

I think there is a real recognition, perhaps even more so than there is here in America, that we are really beginning to tie things together to have an energy plan which is beginning to move things — admittedly an imperfect plan, but at least we have programs where things are beginning to happen and things are moving, the conservation fee which was not accepted by the Congress notwithstanding, I think that that is a fact.

And they were very disappointed with congressional action on the conservation fee and very supportive of our initiative to impose that fee, saying to me and to others in this Department, that we were finally beginning to do the right thing.

As you know, the fee that we have talked about was so much less than what most industrialized nations around the world charge. I was extremely disappointed with congressional action on the conservation fee because it demonstrates a lack of political will to do what I think is necessary, and the issue is not what you might say in terms of barrels of oil imported, the issue is what is all of the oil we use going to cost us.

At the IEA meeting in Paris, there was talk about stock management. I think, and many people think,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1 2

that we need to develop some system other than the IEA trigger. We talked about the management crises, and there is going to be some attention given to that.

5

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was some concern expressed about our strategic petroleum reserve and what out attitudes might be respecting the filling of that reserve, the timing of the filling and what effect that might have on the international oil market. And there was some discussion, too, about the concerted action of some countries and companies respecting not reaching to pay unusually high prices, such as the recent Iranian crisis and the Japanese.

I want to say, again, that I am pleased to be here with you gentlemen this morning, you ladies and gentlemen, and to have this opportunity to talk with you, and the contribution that you make to this Department, believe me -- and I say this with great sincerity -- is very, very substantial. It is recognized, and I don't think I can say it often enough.

I'm looking forward to the receipt of the studies that we are about to receive now, I look forward to seeing the work that you do on the three studies that I've asked you to do, and we appreciate very much your contribution to us. Thank you.

(Applause.)

Thank you very much, Mr. CHAIRMAN MURPHY:

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AYENUE, NW

Secretary.

Ladies and gentlemen, the Secretary has expressed not only a willingness but a desire to answer your questions and to engage in a deliberative process here. The floor is open. Who wishes to be first?

MR. MC AFEE: Well, I'll stick my neck out, Mr. Chairman. I was encouraged to hear what the Secretary had to say about his contacts with both consuming countries and producing countries, and I wonder if there is anything further he might be able to say about the prospects in the foreseeable future, of getting a meaningful negotiation started between the producing countries, on the one hand, and the consuming countries, on the other, in an effort to bring some order out of the present chaos.

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Well, I think that one thing that had to happen before we have meaningful dialogue between producers and consumers is to get our own house in order -- we, the consuming nations of the world.

I think we have made remarkable progress within the International Energy Agency, in causing that to happen. I don't want to overstate the amount of progress that we have made, but there seems to be an increasing desire on the part of the consuming countries — there's some recalcitrance — but there is an increasing desire to do what is necessary to constrain imports.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

),

į (

5

202) 234-4433

There is a greater sense of the collective necessity of doing those things which are going to be really meaningful respecting conservation and the development of alternatives.

I think that the summit meeting about to be held in Venice is going to have a good energy — that the section of the communique concerning energy is going to be a good section. We talked in the IEA in Paris several weeks ago, about the importance of all member nations reducing their dependence on oil, the oil component of their total energy requirement, down to 40 percent or below; that they get the ratio of growth in energy consumption to growth in gross national product down to a ratio of .6 or below.

So, I think that we are making progress respecting cohesion among the consuming countries. Now, given that, I think that you move towards the time when good dialogue ought to take place. I would like to see that take place rather soon, would be my own judgment.

I think to have done it before sometime late
this year, however, might be premature, but on issues
where there is a common interest, where there is a good
possibility of being able to have a unanimity of view,
I think it would be good, with a carefully selected agenda,
with a carefully selected group of countries to begin to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

have some dialogue.

Now, one example of such a matter might be assistance for the less developed countries. The less developed countries, the producing countries and the major consuming countries have a common desire, to see what can be done to assist the less developed countries in managing the burden of higher energy prices, to see what can be done respecting assisting them in conservation measures, assisting them in the development of alternatives.

and the control of th

Now, where you have an item like that, where there is a common interest, maybe there is a possibility of some progress. That's an example of the kind of thing that it might be good to talk about. I don't think there should be high expectations, though, of any really meaningful action.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Secretary, John Miller from Michigan. I think the entire industry is appreciative of the steps that have been taken to allow the acceleration of domestic production, and I think your recognition of the increase in rig activity is proper and appropriate, but I also think that we would be ill-advised if we didn't also think that if we are applauding ourselves for having a mid 1950 rig count, we would necessarily also have to compare our energy requirements in the mid 1950s to today, our imports mid 1950s to today, our surplus-producing

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

ŧ(₁

capacity mid 1950s to today.

My point being that the problem has increased greatly, and we have to greatly accelerate beyond the mid 1950 rig count if this country is ever again going to be energy self-sufficient.

And we are also going to have to have public policies that do not take large amounts of public land out of the development process, and also policies that encourage quick permitting on lands that have been deemed open for exploration.

The problem is great. We commend you for the steps that have been taken, but I really feel that the industry does not sense that we have, at this time, a comprehensive energy policy that takes all of these things into account so that we can develop all energy in this country.

made are undoubtedly valid, and also the rigs we have today,
I'm told, and the way we're going, the depth of our drilling,
that some of that activity -- not enough of it maybe -- is
on the Outer Continental Shelf Artic areas where drilling
is much more difficult.

I'm sure the bulk of that drilling, though, is in the lower 48 states, but you know one thing I think we have to do is address the issue of added supply in all

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

,

(

(

.

1,

(

(202) 234-4433

forms, not just oil and gas but all forms.

One thing I was encouraged to see happen recently was the recommencing of the licensing of nuclear power plants, for example. There have been three of them given operating licenses in the past few weeks.

I think we need to do what we can, and our Department is increasingly active in working with the Department of Interior on leasing policy. There is some acceleration in the numbers of leases that are going to -- the numbers of sales that are going to take place between now and 1985.

As recently as this morning, the lady on my left, Ruth Davis, has told me that she thinks there is also the issue of timing, and you have to look beyond just numbers, to get a true picture.

I think there are a lot of areas that we need to address. We've had two national energy plans, and the Congress mandates that we have a national energy plan every other year. There was an NEP-1 in 1977, an NEP-2 in 1979, and we will be required to give one to the Congress, NEP-3, in the Spring of 1981.

Now, I'm approaching this one somewhat differently from the first two. Conditions have changed since the preparation of the first two. And I want to emphasize the question both of conservation and supply, in a rather

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(

aggressive way, and not just within this Department but on an interagency basis.

For example, one of the inconsistencies between the DOE regulations and KWPS regulations that needs to be addressed in order to facilitate energy supply, what needs to be done to facility energy supply? What changes need to be made, if any, in the granting of operating licenses by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, to facilitate supply? What are things that we could do to more aggressively add to the supply of energy in all forms?

I see this as "the" most important activity
that this Department will be addressing in the balance
of this year. The legislation is pretty well wound up
now. I would hope that in the next few weeks that we will
be through that. That's been a very significant and massive
amount of work involved, on our part. What I want to
address myself to is the very issue that you talked about
in the form of a National Energy Plan-3.

we've already contacted many of you. We've gone out to industry people, we've gone out to academics, we've gone out to many different groups respecting this issue, and we've gotten some extremely good responses, and we have gotten some ideas respecting the relationship of where gas might be a factor in reserves; those kinds of issues we are beginning to analyze, and I think — I would

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

3

hope that we can have a very meaningful product that would put us further down the road, as you mentioned.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Next question, or next presentation? Yes, Mr. Hefner?

MR. HEFNER: Mr. Secretary, I'm Robert Hefner. Every chance I get, I like to talk about one of the bright spots or pieces of optimism I think we can and are having in our energy policy, and that is that in addition to all that you've said was beginning to work, I believe that certainly in one area the Natural Gas Policy Act is working very well.

It shows that the marketplace really is doing its job. Deep gas, as you know, is closely regulated and not subject to any punitive tax. An example of what has happened in the division where our company operates is that in 1978, there was \$1 billion spent in the entire country, in drilling for deep gas.

In 1980, there will be at least \$1 billion, if
not more, spent in the Anadarco. That will result in over
300 wells being drilled and, if our statistics hold, we
will discover some 2.4 trillion, and that equals, in 1979,
compares in 1979 with new field discoveries of 2.6 trillion.
So, I think it's a bright spot that we can show there that
the marketplace is working, and we need to continue to
push for improvement in the Natural Gas Policy Act as it
NEAL R. GROSS

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

5

(202) 234-4433

exists.

(202) 234-4433

an error to Mr. Murphy, in which you said in the lower

48 states we are depleting our proved reserves of oil and
gas twice as fas as we are finding new reserves. I think,
in the case of gas, that's turned around in 1979. There
is some question about the statistics, but I feel very
certain that that will have turned around in 1980, and we
look at the natural gas side of the industry to have increased productive capacity by 1985 at least equivalent
to 2 million barrels a day.

and the control of th

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Thank you very much for those observations.

MR. RODGERS: Mr. Secretary, Don Rodgers, International Brotherhood of Teamsters. We tend to deal with basis as much as possible.

Much of what really bothers us is, if we were to get cutoff tomorrow from Near East oil, is this country as ready as it should be and, if not, then what do you think we should be doing?

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Well, I think one thing we have done is to pass the increase in the areas we have just discussed, and another thing we have done is to set up an activity, an interorganization entity within the Department that is going to exercise every day management

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(

(

Ć

(

(

(

of this responsibility, this is going to be their activity.

Mr. Bart House is heading that activity -- and he's in the room right now, I saw him as I walked in -- and we have taken one of our very senior people and have given them the responsibility for this.

The thing that we need to do right now is to cut dramatically our use of oil, our dependence on oil. That's what the conservation treaty is about, that's what the utility oil backout legislation is about, and that's why I'm so encouraged by the results we've achieved in the first five months of this year compared to the first five months of 1979.

Now, there's lots of reasons for that. One is economic activity, the second is price, and we're seeing that price demand elasticity is maybe more than we thought it might be a year ago but, basically, we've got to do what we can to conserve, and we've got to do what we can to encourage use of alternatives.

We've got to begin burning more coal now in utility plants. We've got to get passed the utility oil backout legislation. We've got to move aggressively with things like coal-oil mixtures and atmospheric fluidized beds.

I was very happy, as I said earlier, to see our recommencing of the licensing of these nuclear power plants.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

`

•

(

,

,

: **(**

(

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

The average nuclear power plant is 1 megawatt which is equivalent to about 25,000 barrels of oil a day, and that is a very, very substantial savings.

We also have to have mandatory demand restraint measures -- we use if we have to. We have gone out to the states with a voluntary conservation target, and the states are now developing their plans towards the implementation of those targets, but I think the potential there is not sufficient to be able to manage a very serious drop in our supply of imported oil, and that's the reason for the standby rationing bill. I underline the word "standby" because no one contemplates the early implementation of the rationing bill.

I think that is almost a last resort. an advocate of rationing but, in the extreme supply interruption, you would have to go to a plan like that, and we do have the best plan we could devise. I don't suggest to you that the plan is perfect, I believe there is no such thing as a perfect rationing plan. There is no way to get one that is completely equitable, and there is no way to manage one with complete equity. The bureaucratic morass you would create is really -- but, still, we have to have one on the shelf.

We and the Congress worked together to get that, and we do have one, and it will be submitted to the Congress

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS for the Congress to look at for a while, but you have to be able to do what you can right now, every day, to cut consumption. We should move aggressively to back out oil wherever we can.

We should move aggressively to develop alternatives, but that's a long-term proposition, the development of alternatives, and we need to have regulatory measures, such as the standby rationing plan, which we can impose and have sufficient flexibility to meet any level of interruption.

MR. ANDERSON: Apropos of John Miller's comments of 2800 rigs is a refreshing change after the last 20 years, but, still, it is a relatively modest number when one compares it against the 1950s.

And just thinking on these comments, if we arbitrarily assume that we maybe should go to a 50 percent higher level, maybe the national increase, the tremendous effort that would be required to bring our activity from 2800 to over 4,000.

It would be a commitment of major national importance when you think of the manpower, trained technicians and support facilities, the manufacturing — it would be an enormous program to this country to undertake, or even for the industry to contemplate, but a 4- or 5,000 rig count may be one of the things we're going to have to look

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

at. We cannot finance it today, we know that.

It is completely beyond the industry's financial capabilities. It is not probably beyond the industry's ability to mount, technically. In the past, when we've been confronted by major problems, as in World War II, we have a remarkable resiliency and ability to innovate and get things done, but a 50 percent increase over 1956'57 levels shouldn't be an unthinkable level of activity for this country, but it is an interesting number to conjure with, particularly those who know what would be required to meet it.

SECRETARY DUNCAN: I think that's a good comment. There have been several people that have addressed the number that I used, and I, too, would stipulate -- I wish it was a lot more, but the 2800, however good it is, is a lot better than it was. And it's a simple fact that 2800 is more in terms of numbers than has been the case in 24 years. Now, if they have 3200 or 4,000, Mr. Anderson, I think that's great.

It's my belief, and I don't know as much about this as you do, but it is my belief that with the additional drilling activity and with the decontrol of prices which will be complete in September, it's all going to be done, that our expectation respecting adding to our reserves in this country has its limitations.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(.

-

0

3

I've talked to many people in this room about this issue, and there are some who have told me that there is a great opportunity for substantial additions to our reserves of oil and gas.

Now, on the oil side, there are those who have the view that maybe we don't have a substantial potential on oil, to add to our reserves, without much correlation to the amount of drilling activity.

I've talked to people who have told me their own corporate projections as to what U.S. oil production might be over the next two decades, and what the reserves might -- as to how those might develop over the next two decades, and there is some variance, but there tends to be a rather consistent view, with some exceptions, but a rather consistent view that the expectation of substantial -- and define "substantial" as 50 percent or 100 percent increase in reserves, if you will, and we each have our own definition of substantial -- but the probability of that is not sufficiently high that you should predicate an energy policy on that.

I think it is important that we do these other things. I think it's important -- I'm not changing what I just said to you, Mr. Anderson, but I think it's very important. You know, 3800 would be a lot better than 2800, I'm with you on that statement, but I just wonder what your

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

view is, or others' view might be, as to the expectation of success, if it were 4,000, to make dramatic additions to our reserves and our production potential.

MR. ANDERSON: I'm sure it will be a declining success curve if undertaken long ago, but given the realities of cost of energy and the drain on the dollar as we ship money abroad, even a declining curve could be a very attractive curve.

SECRETARY DUNCAN: I agree with that.

MR. ANDERSON: The other is deeper drilling is considering more rig time, fewer wells completed per rig, because we are drilling at much, much deeper depths in the Darco Basin because in a year, year and a half, a wildcat's life may change.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Next question?

MR. PITTS: Mr. Secretary, may I make one statement? I'm Frank Pitts, from Dallas.

We actually have found production only on 2

percent of the potential in this country, on-shore and

off-shore, so we have 98 percent -- admitted, a lot of it

is deep and still to be tested. But what we need, at

least at present, it seems to me, is more wells. The

only way you can find oil and natural gas is to drill wells.

We have basin, after basin, after basin in this country -- 15,000, 20,000, 25,000 untested. And last year,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

•

(

,

(

33 we only drilled 1.3 of the wells 15,000 feet or deeper. 1 We need to be drilling more wells and deeper wells, and 2 we will find, accidentally, a lot of oil, but we will find 3 an awful lot of natural gas, in my judgment. 4 SECRETARY DUNCAN: Well, I certainly hope you 5 6 are right. MR. PITTS: I believe I'm right. I'm putting 7 my money where my mouth is. 8 SECRETARY DUNCAN: Don't let me leave this meeting 9 with people having the view that I would not like to see 10 more drilling activity because I very definitely would 11 like to see more drilling activity. I think enhanced oil 12 recovery, too, will add tremendously to enhance oil pro-13 duction. I think we should do everything we can to en-14 courage that, and all these other things, too. 15 Thank you very much. 16

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, I think we've all benefitted from the Secretary's willingness to enter into a
free exchange here, and I daresay that he and his colleagues
in the Department feel it has been good for them.

Now, with all due respect to the dignity and status of those of us remaining at the head table, since the Secretary has been excused, I wonder if we could cut down on the heat just a little.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

We will turn now to the reports of the study committees. You have heard from Mr. McAfee --

MR. MC AFEE: Not yet, you haven't.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We have heard from you several times -- (laughter) -- and I heard Jerry say just this morning, in connection with refineries, if you don't understand refineries, he can make this his lifetime work.

I think he can get it done a little sooner than that and, Mr. McAfee, if you would kindly step up.

MR. MC AFEE: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen, as the Chairman intimated, I had somewhat mixed feelings in appearing today and with yet another progress report with the Committee on Refinery Flexibilities.

As some of you may remember, at our last meeting, I expressed the hope that at this meeting we might be able and would hope to be able to give our final report. Unfortunately, that is not to be possible but, on the other hand, I am pleased to be able to report some significant progress in further pursuing this very difficult and complex study.

I sincerely believe it is going to continue to be useful to both industry and government. You will recall that our basic assignment covers three major areas. first is to analyze past and future trends in crude oil availability and refining capability.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

5

The second area concerns the relative competitive economics of different sizes and types of refineries in the United States and of U.S. refineries versus foreign export refineries.

Finally, the Committee was requested to examine the refining industry's flexibility to meet dislocation of supply.

In conducting this study, the Committee is assisted by a Coordinating Subcommittee and two task groups. Warren B. Davis, Chief Economist of Gulf Oil Corporation, serves as Chairman of the Coordinating Subcommittee; John R. Hall, Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of Ashland Oil serves as Chairman of the Refinery Capability Task Group; and S. E. Watterson, Jr., Corporation Manager, Tanker and Distribution Planning Staff, Standard Oil Company of California, serves as Chairman of the Oil Supply, Demand and Logistics Task Group. R. Dobie Langenkamp, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Energy, serves as Government Co-Chairman of the Committee.

Prior to beginning the analysis requested by the Secretary, the Committee decided that an extensive data base on U.S. refineries would have to be developed. The study's Interim Report, which you transmitted to the Secretary, contained the results of that data collection effort.

The Interim Report also contained the results

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

of a survey of numerous supply-demand forecasts. As noted at that time, however, the majority of the forecasts received were prepared in late 1978 and early 1979 and, thus, did not take into account the political and economic events which occurred in 1979.

The forecasters were resurveyed early this year and provided more current data to add to the analyses of future refining requirements. The group of organizations surveyed now believes, on average, that total U.S. oil demand will be essentially unchanged throughout the 1980s.

barrels per day in 1990 from the first survey results, down from 21.2 to 18.9 million barrels per day. Sharp reductions in the demand for residual fuel oil and motor gasoline account for a large portion of this overall decline.

It is important to note at this point that the Committee will not be attempting to forecast future crude supply, refined product demand, or refinery configuration. The forecasts of numerous organizations have been aggregated solely to provide a framework within which to analyze future refining hardware requirements.

In all, three product demand cases and two crude quality cases will be examined. The resultant six combinations of crude quality and product demand are believed to bracket the refining requirements between now and 1990.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

5

(202) 234-4433

Additional cases will be run to test the sensitivity of facility requirements to various product quality standards such as octane number and sulfur content. Finally, the refining system will be tested under several crude supply disruption scenarios.

This portion of the study is very comprehensive and detailed. It is anticipated that these analyses will be completed in about 60 days.

The work on the two competitiveness issues is further along and draft reports are now being considered by the working groups.

In any analysis of the competitive positions of domestic refineries and of U.S. refineries vis-a-vis foreign refineries, it is important to consider that the competitive position of any refiner or segment of the refining industry is largely determined by the total cost of producing similar petroleum products relative to its competitors.

All other factors being the same, the lower this cost of production, the better the competitive position.

The analysis being developed for this study is based upon this concept of competitiveness.

The domestic competitive analysis will consider the crude oil entitlements program and small refiner bias regulation as administered in 1978 and as modified

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

effective July 1, 1979. Additionally, the first quarter 1980 relative market values for gasoline, middle distillates and heavy petroleum products will be considered.

2

The second area of competitiveness study is a comparison of the incremental profitability of offshore refineries located in eastern Canada, the Caribbean, the Netherlands, and Italy with hypothetical refineries located on the U.S. east and Gulf coasts.

The analysis considers a refinery typical in size and complexity in each area under study and also includes an analysis of eastern Canada and Caribbean refineries retrofitted to yield a product mix comparable to that of the U.S. East Coast.

The relative incremental profitability of U.S. versus foreign refineries is being analyzed by comparing the profitability of processing incremental crude oils and delivering products to the U.S. East Coast market.

In summary, the work in all areas is progressing well and the Committee hopes, Mr. Chairman, to be able to submit a draft report to the Council for its consideration this fall.

I cannot stress strongly enough my belief that the great amount of time and expertise which has been volunteered to this effort, largely by the NPC members represented in the room today, has contributed to a

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

substantial document, not just in size but in substance, 1 which can be of great use to the Federal government and 2 to the industry. 3 I, personally, appreciate very much, indeed, the support that you are giving this effort, and I know 5 that I speak for all the other members of our Committee, 6 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 7 as well. I wish to thank you for what CHAIRMAN MURPHY: you have done so far, and I think the Council and the 9 Department are very sympathetic with the sweeping changes 10 that have occurred while your work has been in progress. 11 The importance of this is underscored by the 12 fact -- and the Secretary was reminding us in his office 13 this morning, as he was being briefed in his own quarters 14 concerning the status of this, and they are using this as 15 a working paper even now. 16 Mr. McAfee, I'm sure, will be glad to answer 17 questions if anyone has them, relative to the report or 18 19 its substance. 20 (No response.) If none, thank you very much. 21 22 (Applause.) Now, the fourth study I've heard that is still 23 current is that of the Committee on Unconventional Gas 24 You have heard reports in the past from John 25 Resources. **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 Bookout, and we will now hear from him again.

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

Just to remind you, we were requested by the

Secretary of the Department of Energy to assess the nation's

unconventional gas sources — that is, to estimate the

size of the U.S. gas resource beds containing tight gas

reservoirs, devonian shale, coal seams and geopressured

brine, and determine how much of this gas could be pro
duced each year, supplying different price levels received

for the gas and using exploration and recovery technologies

available now and in the future.

The Committee on Unconventional Gas Sources organized itself into four task groups, one for each of the sources, and took on separate studies using common economic parameters and reporting formats.

Three of the task groups -- Devonian Shale, Coal Seams and Geopressured Brines -- have completed their studies. Our full committee has reviewed these reports.

Today, we wish to report to you on the three completed reports and on the status of the largest and most extensive study, the tight gas reservoirs. The Tight Gas Study report will be completed later this year.

Today, we will recommend to the Council that the completed reports be issued now. Much of the data and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

3

5

2021 234-4433

results have been given to the Department of Energy and others, and certain policy changes to exploit the potential indicated, are already being implemented.

We have prepared a brief interim summary, and it is our recommendation that it, too, be issued with the report being considered today.

I will make a few summary remarks and comments about the study, and then ask that the Chairman permit the assistance of Dr. Charles Matthews, who has been Assistant to the Chairman, present some of the results to you.

The largest and most important source of unconvential gas will be the tight gas reservoirs, perhaps
200 to 500 trillion cubic feet of gas. In that state,
we are looking at 12 basins, and 80 sub-basins, and a
great number of varieties in each of these basins.

Each variety has different properties and problems and costs, which you can appreciate. The tight gas study will be comprehensive, and I want to note here that the tight reservoir gas will be the largest and the most available of the unconventional resources.

Devonian shale gas and coal seam gas could potentially add 20 to 25 trillion cubic feet of gas each to the national reserve basin, by the year 2000, at a price of \$5 per 1,000 in 1979\$. Let me emphasize, this is

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

not a forecast, it is an estimate of what could happen if this price were received and if a very large effort were undertaken.

To illustrate using the \$5 per 1,000 price natural gas production from devonian shale and coal seam combined, could reach 3 trillion cubic feet per year, perhaps by 1995. This would require drilling 170,000 new wells. This is a very major effort, but it is also a feasible effort.

The rigs and manpower could be had, however, if the effort worthy to be mounted.

The potential crude reserve developed from the geopressured brine, even at \$9 per 1,000, would be very small, estimated to be no more than a trillion cubic feet of gas.

The data base for this conclusion to be more than adequate. Although geopressured brine is extensive, individual reservoirs are usually in highly faulted areas, therefore, of small size.

In summary, we estimate gas reserves from these unconventional sources to be 40 to 50 trillion cubic feet of gas. This is not unimportant, but it is small when compared to the present crude reserves of natural gas in the United States, some 195 trillion cubic feet at present, mostly all from conventional sources.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. ¹⁸°

(202) 234-4433

Likewise, the 3 trillion cubic feet per year which these sources could add to production, while not large, compared to the daily production rate of about 23 cubic, it would play an important role in offsetting decline.

Now, I would like to ask Dr. Matthews to give us some of the details on the results of the task group.

MR. MATTHEWS: Thank you very much, John. This is going to be a slide presentation, and I'm not sure whether you gentlemen can see it.

This shows the request letter we received from the Secretary of Energy. He asked that we make an analysis of the potential natural gas recovery from devonian shale, coal seams, geopressured brines and tight gas reservoirs. This was to cover the resource base and the state of the art recovery technology, outlook for cost of recovery, and how government policy could improve the outlook.

The National Petroleum Council organized a Committee on Unconventional Gas Resources headed, as you know, by Mr. Bookout, with Dobie Langenkamp of the Department of Energy, as Co-Chairman.

They set up a four-man subcommittee, with Dick

Nelson of Shell as Chairman, and Lou Van Draya of the

Department of Energy, as Co-Chairman, who turned this into

four task groups, one for each of the sources -- Geopressured

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Brines headed by Tom Stone of the Union, and Don Ward as the government Co-Chairman; Tight Gas Reservoirs headed by Allen Baker, with Lou Dandrea as government Co-Chairman; Devonian Shale headed by John Moore of Consolidated Natural Gas as Chairman, and Jeff Smith as Co-Chairman; Coal Seams headed by Bill Thompson of Consolidated Coal, with Troy Yar as the Co-Chairman.

The Coordinating Subcommittee said this is the way we would like to get the results from each of the task groups, give us the reserve additions and producing rates to the year 2000, five gas prices, 1979\$. All results were in constant 1979\$ -- \$2.50, \$3.50, \$5.00, \$7.00 and \$9.00.

I remember at the time we started this study,

I wanted to go up to \$12, but a number of people said it

was unreasonable, two years ago, and, now, the \$9 looks

none too high. This is to be done at three rates of

return -- 10, 15 and 20 percent. These are real rates of

return, after tax, taking into account risk, two levels

of technology, conventional and what we might achieve by

concerted industry and government probing of the service

to reach the advanced level of technology.

Some of the economic guidelines -- and I won't go into this in detail -- again, in 1979\$, current tax rate, overhead, some 10 percent of capital and 20 percent

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

5

of direct operating cost, with expensive intangibles as common today, and write-off tangibles, by most favorable treatment for risk and dry holes, and we make an estimate of the dry hole risk, and the burden of successful wells with their share of dry hole costs and other unsuccessful leasehold and other non-recoverable costs, and the price we use is at point of sale.

These are the results the task group obtained, and these are potential reserve additions to the year 2000, that's 7 cubic feet at the current real rate of return using a 10 percent rate of return.

For devonian shale, they range from a low of 7 trillion cubic feet which could be added to reserves at \$2.50, up to 27 trillion cubic feet at \$9. Coal seams is very similar. \$2.50 per MCF, you might add 5 perhaps by the year 2000, and up to 45 trillion cubic feet at \$9.

Geopressured brines was a disappointment. We feel there is very little potential there. Tight gas reservoirs -- and we gave a progress report today, so it will give you some idea of how that stands -- it is going to be, by far, the largest.

At \$2.50 per MCF, it looks as if the potential reserves are at least 100 trillion, perhaps 200, at \$9 of probably anywhere from 200 trillion up to 500. To put that in perspective, you note that the current U.S. gas

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

reserves are about 195 trillion cubic feet, so the unconventional gas has, taken as a whole, including tight reservoirs, has a very significant potential to add at least the equivalent of the current U.S. reserves, and perhaps double that.

What rate could we achieve -- and I do not have an estimate of the rate for tight gas reservoirs at this time. This is the potential production rate in trillion cubic feet per year. Again, the 10 percent real rate of return at current technology, and it prices up to \$9 per thousand cubic feet in 1979\$.

Again, to put this into perspective, note that the current U.S. gas production rates is about 20 cubic feet per year, and you will see that the rates achievable for devonian shale and coal seams are not large compared with that rate.

The devonian, we have looked at production rates in two times -- 1990 and 2000 -- two different growth rates. A moderate rate of drilling and what we might call accelerated or all-out rate. Devonian shale, even an accelerated rate would be only about .6 of a trillion in 1990 and up to 1 trillion in 2000. That is only some 5 percent of the current gas production rate.

Coal seams under accelerated rate might reach

2 trillion or 2½ trillion. Again, it is about 10 percent

NEAL R. GROSS

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

5

and, again, we expect the rate from geopressured brines to be less. I do not have a good forecast for the tight gas reservoirs. That is going to be very capital intensive. Even the rate of buildup will depend very strongly on what kind of capital can be thrown into that effort.

Now, what were some of the problems with these sources? Currently, devonian shale and coal seams and geopressured brines, in all these sources, prices are decontrolled. Devonian shale, one of the current problems is there is no available pipeline in certain areas.

Further, there is current competition with the conventional sources producible at a lower control price.

There was considerable drilling at the time intrastate gas was not under control, and there is current acceptance in some areas of that. And the same with the price of devonian shale gas. Further, much of the area where it was thought to be productive with devonian shale has not yet been drilled, so we are extrapolating. We assume that much of that area will be productive.

Coal seams and legal ownership of the gas is not known at this time. Does it belong to the owner of the coal minerals, or to the owner of the oil and gas minerals, or to neither. This will probably be settled in court, and it will probably be a number of years before that is settled.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

i (

There is very uncertain gas well productivity.

We only have data on a relatively few wells, and we do not have more than a few years, so extrapolation into the future for different types of coal is very uncertain.

Finally, there is the low value of the coal seams gas relative to coal value -- only about 2 percent value, BTU value, is in the gas, so one has to be very careful in drilling wells, or doing anything in an attempt to produce gas, because you may do damage to 98 percent of the minerals in an attempt to recover 2 percent.

there, although it varies, there is a normal resource in play. The basic problem is it's a very new form of energy. From the brines we have seen thus far in the geopressure program, each barrel of water will only dissolve about 20 cubic feet of gas, so that at \$5 per thousand cubic feet, each barrel of water is only worth 10 cents.

To pay back the cost of a \$5 or \$6 million well plus the disposal, you can see that you have to produce a veritable supermarket of water. The well has to produce on the order of 30- to 50,000 barrels per day, for up to ten years, in order to get any kind of decent economics.

Further, the geopressured brines is produced by liquid expansion, so the recovery is small, on the order

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

of 3 percent, so when you take all those factors into account, you find that each well must drain an area of anywhere from 10 to 30 square miles. So, instead of drilling on 40 acres basis, you're drilling on a miles basis.

So, this brings us to the first problem and that is that there are not very many large permeable prospects. The geopressured zone is geopressured because it is faulted, or rather it is very highly faulted so that these individual reservoirs tend to be quite small.

of them have been found, but we think not very many. Even if you found the prospect, most of them will only support one or two wells. It's not like finding an oil field where you have the discovery and then the development drilling phase. Most prospects will support only one or two wells.

So, in summary, this is the plan, to issue this report to the National Petroleum Council in five volumes.—
Volume I, the Executive Summary; Volume II, Coal Seams;
Volume III, Devonian Shale; Volume IV, Geopressured Brines; and Volume V, Tight Gas Reservoirs. The plan is to issue Volumes II, III and IV in June of this year, and Volumes I and V later in 1980. That's all I have.

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you, Jerry. As he pointed

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(

(

. 18

2

out, our task won't be completed until later in the year when we have the compilance of the Executive Summary and the completed issue of documentation on the tight gas report.

In the meantime, Mr. Chairman, the Committee met yesterday and recommended we issue at least three volumes -- Coal Seams, Devonian Shale and Geopressured Brines -- along with a brief summary as an interim report to the National Petroleum Council.

and the summary. Now, we've added to the summary that you presently have, a further summarization of the coal seams, devonian shale and geopressured brines, and also a status report for tight gas reservoirs.

These additions are shown in the recent issues 2-A through 2-E and pages 20 through 22. The principal conclusion in brief are, natural gas, coal seams and devonian shale could make a significant contribution to the future of U.S. gas supply.

There is considerable uncertainty in the estimates of reserve and producing rates, and the rate of
development will be highly dependent upon the economic
conditions, which is certainly no surprise to anyone in
this group.

Mr. Chairman, I move that the three volumes and NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

.

2

3

•	·	52
	¥	the summary be approved by the National Petroleum Council,
	. 2	subject to finalization, as the response to the request
	3	made by the Secretary of Energy.
	4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do I hear a second?
	5	VOICE: Second.
	6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The matter is open to discus-
	7	sion. Do you wish to discuss this with Mr. Bookout, or
	8	are you prepared to act on the motion?
	9	(No response.)
	10	There being no questions, those who favor the
	11	Bookout resolution which calls for the adoption of these
	12	reports with the last phase coming later, will let it be
	13	known be saying "aye".
	14	(Whereupon, there was a chorus of "ayes".)
	15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The motion is carried.
-	16	We are now going to turn to the reports of the
	. 17	administrative committees; none of those are unimportant,
	. 18	but the work of the Agenda Committee, in particular,
(19	requires our attention this morning. I'm going to declare
•	20	a five-minute break to stretch and, Wiley, you're the
	2 1	Sergeant-at-Arms, so don't let them go far.
(22	(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
	2 3	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Ladies and gentlemen, if you
(24	would resume your seats.
	2 5	Ladies and gentlemen, you will remember that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

before the last meeting, the Secretary had placed before us a request for three far-reaching studies. These were the burden of the capacity of the membership.

The Agenda Committee has met to consider these requests. Bill Martin, Chairman of Phillips and Chairman of that Committee conducted the meeting. He had informed us beforehand that his Board, though, is meeting abroad this week, and Bob Sellers, a member of his Committee, will render the report of the Agenda Committee.

MR. SELLERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

On May 23, 1980, the Agenda Committee met to consider three study requests from the Secretary of Energy. Copies of the request letter was mailed to you on June 5 and they are also in the handout this morning.

The first letter dated April 9, 1980, requests the Council to undertake the comprehensive study of Arctic area oil and gas development. Specifically, the Secretary requests resource base information, economic and technological analyses and the Council's consideration of other matters, such as the environmental impacts, transportation requirements and international jurisdictional questions.

The Agenda Committee feels that this will be a difficult study because of the limited amount of available data but, nonetheless, is a proper request for the Secretary to make and for the Council to accept.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

5

0

3

5

The second request letter reviewed by the Agenda Committee also dated April 9, 1980, requests the Council to prepare a new study of environmental conservation issues related to the petroleum industry.

In the letter, the Secretary notes the Council
1971 report on this subject, as well as the extensive and
regulatory and technological changes which have occurred
in the last decade.

In requesting this study, the Secretary asks the Council to place special emphasis on determining the environmental problems that are most serious, and the impact of current environmental control regulations on availability and cost of petroleum products and natural gas.

The Agenda Committee feels that an analysis of the proper balance between environmental costs and energy costs is both important and needed. The Agenda Committee thinks that it is highly appropriate for the Council to undertake this study, but recognizes that if the Council accepts this and the Arctic request, the two Committees will meet to coordinate their activities, to avoid duplication.

As you will note, Deputy Assistant Secretary R. Dobie Langenkamp has been designated by the Secretary to work with us on both of these things.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Finally, the Agenda Committee discussed a re-1 quest for a new study on emergency preparedness planning. 2 The Secretary of Energy has recently combined the Depart-3 ment's internal functions for energy emergency planning, 4 and asked Deputy Secretary John C. Sawhill to be responsi-5 ble for the reappraisal of the Department's emergency 6 planning apparatus. 7 The reappraisal will be conducted by the newly 8 created Office of Contingency Planning, under the director-9 ship of Barton R. House, Deputy Administrator, Economic 10 Regulatory Administration. 11 Mr. House attended the Agenda Committee meeting 12 to inform the members of these actions and to express Dr. 13 Sawhill's interest in the Council's assistance with their 14 coordinating planning effort. 15 The Agenda Committee was also informed of 16 Secretary's intent to request a Council study on the action 17 required of industry and government during an energy 18 emergency. 19 The Agenda Committee considers this to be a topic 20 of great national importance, and approves, in principle, 21 this request. 22 23

By verbal communication on June 3 between the members and the Chairman, the Agenda Committee unanimously approved the text of this request as provided in Dr. Sawhill's

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.

(202) 234-4433

24

letter of June 3.

The Agenda Committee finds that each of these three requests is proper and advisable for Council consideration, and unanimously recommends that the Council agree to undertake them as matters of importance and urgency.

In making this recommendation, the Agenda Committee recognizes that detailed questions will arise on the exact bounds of these studies; however, the Committee feels that the resolution of these questions should be left to the study committee working with representatives of the Department of Energy.

Mr. Chairman, this completes the report of the Agenda Committee, and I move that it be adopted by the membership of the National Petroleum Council.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do I hear a second?

VOICE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Discussion?

MR. MASSELLI: Mr. Chairman, I'm David Masselli of Friends of the Earth. I would like to amend the motion and move that the Committee defer action on the Arctic study until its next meeting.

The reason I do this is, I think, frankly, there is very little that the Committee can do between now and December or October because of the fact, which is known

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(

- 18

to everyone here, that starting on July 21, the Alaska
Lands Bill will be up and, for the rest of the year, we
will see significant legislative and administrative activities on Alaska.

I don't think it serves the Council particularly well -- and this is in light of the recent extremely good studies its done -- to announce with some fanfare a month before this rather controversial legislation, that they are "making a major study" of Arctic resources.

I think it would be easier after these actions have taken place, whatever they are, to figure out exactly what the bounds of the study should be. And, frankly, if we choose to go forward with this study now, I'm sure that the Study Committee will simply sit on its hands for three or four months and find out what happens.

So, I would recommend that this study, which will undoubtedly be the most expensive study, which will have us doing five studies at once — this was an issue which was discussed somewhat yesterday when the Finance Committee met — which will tax our membership, among other things, that we just defer action on this until what I think will be a much more appropriate time at the end of the year.

And if at that time, it seems reasonable to go forward -- and I think it will be reasonable to go forward

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(

(

(

. 18

-- we can undertake the study with a much clearer idea of 1 what's being looked at than the current request, which is 2 I think, as Mr. Sellers said, very, very big. 3 So, I would move that we defer action on that 4 particular study and move forward as quickly as possible 5 on the other two, which I think are excellent studies and 6 should be undertaken as quickly as possible. 7 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Masselli, the motion 8 before the house, which has been seconded, calls for 9 englobal action on the three requests. Now, when you 10 began your remarks, I thought you were saying that you 11 were moving an amendment to --12 MR. MASSELLI: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All right. We have a motion 14 to amend the resolution before the house. It is wanting 15 a second at the moment. Does the Chair hear a second? 16 Second. 17 VOICE: CHAIRMAN MURPHY: You hear the motion to amend . 18 which, as I understand it, is that the Arctic Resources 19 Study be deferred --20 MR. MASSELLI: Until the next meeting of the 21 Council. 22 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there discussion of the 23 amendment to the motion? 24 I would like to point out that 25 MR. ANDERSON:

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

NEAL R. GROSS

this is done in response to a request by the Secretary of Energy, it is not a study initiated by the industry. And there is no certainty that we will convene in the fall, it is at the Secretary's pleasure. It could mean a delay of close to a year, and seeing that it is the Secretary's request and he feels it is timely, I think it would be inappropriate for the Council not to accommodate him in making a joint approval of this study.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are there others who wish to speak to the amendment?

Yes, sir?

MR. ROSAPEPE: Jim Rosapepe. I would just like to ask you, Mr. Chairman, if you could direct to members that are appropriate from the Department and from the Council, someone who is familiar with the thinking behind the study, to address the questions that Mr. Masselli raised about the appropriateness and the timing and the significance of putting it off six months or so.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY DAVIS: Let me make a few comments on that. First of all, the Administration has a position on the Arctic Lands Bill. The request for this study does not in any way imply any variation or change or implication that the study would make any change in the Administration's position.

Secondly, it is based on the sincere belief that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(

(

=

. 9

the time is not only right, but we are essentially behind time in attempting to get the kind of information that come into this study relative to the Alaskan Lands area, not just the Arctic oil interests in which the Administration has taken a very appropriate position in opening up.

I do believe that we stand behind our belief that the time is right in terms of looking at the energy supply on-line. We recognize the activity that was mentioned by the individual making the motion, we would in no way assume that what we are doing now would be anything but constructive to the activities under discussion, not only in the Administration but here.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Secretary Davis.

I will supplement, if I may, in answer to Mr. Rosapepe,
as the Chairman here, along with my Co-Chairman Bill Haynes,
we do not view lightly the amount of work and time that is
required, and we have taken soundings in the Department,
from the Secretary on down pretty far, as to whether they
feel that they need this and need it urgently and need it
now, and the answer has been a resounding "yes".

You are voting on an amendment which will call for deferral of one of the three requests, namely, Arctic Resources. Those in favor of adopting the amendment raise your right hand.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

202) 234-4433

. 18

(Show of hands.) 1 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: One, two, three. 2 Those opposed to adoption of the amendment, 3 raise your right hand. 4 (Show of hands.) 5 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The amendment fails by an 6 overwhelming majority. We will now revert to the motion 7 before the house which originates from the Agenda Committee 8 to undertake the three studies that have been laid on us 9 by the Secretary of Energy. 10 Those who favor adoption of the Committee 11 resolution, let it be known by saying "aye". 12 (Chorus of "ayes".) 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Carried. Mr. Sellers, thank 14 you very much, and I remind you that your affirmative 15 vote is interpreted by Bill Haynes and me as a volunteering 16 on your part to get to work. 17 VOICE: Now you tell us. - 18 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We will now turn to the 19 Finance Committee and Mr. Dean McGee. 20 MR. MC GEE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 The Finance Committee met yesterday to review 22 the financial status of the Council, and I am pleased to 23 report that the financial condition of the Council is 24 25 excellent.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

The second of Last of the second

2

3

At the meeting yesterday, the Committee reviewed the annual audit with representatives of Arthur Young and Company, and they gave us a clean bill of health.

We also reviewed a proposed revised budget. As you may recall, at our last meeting, we approved a budget of \$1,650,00, which was considered necessary to complete the two on-going studies and to undertake two new studies.

As you have heard in the Agenda Committee report just now, we have been asked to do three new studies.

Based on this and a review of the first five months, the Committee recommends that we increase the calendar year 1980 budget by \$100,000, to \$1,750,000.

The revised calendar year 1980 budget is still \$200,000 less than the calendar year 1979 budget. Because of the higher than expected publication sales and interest rates on investments and because of the uncertainty of the scope of the three new studies, the Committee recommends, Mr. Chairman, that the member contribution be held to \$1,650,000, and that you reauthorize to make such additional expenditures from the Contingency Fund if this becomes necessary to the operation of the Council.

Finally, the Finance Committee recommends the selection of Arthur Young and Company to continue as the Council's outside auditor.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I move that the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

report of the Finance Committee be adopted by the Council. 1 2 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. McGee. 3 there a second? VOICE: Second. I would say, Mr. Chairman, 5 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 6 that I consider that your resolution implies that the 7 Chairman of the Council is directed to solicit the member-8 ship to subscribe to the budget that you have recommended. 9 Those who favor the budget just laid before you, 10 let it be known by saying "aye". 11 (Chorus of "ayes".) 12 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So done. Thank you very much, 13 Mr. McGee. 14 Ladies and gentlemen, shortly after the war, 15 the then President Harry Truman honored us with a visit 16 to Arkansas and, in that happier and simpler day, why, 17 there were two Secret Service men, not a great squad of 18 them, and there was just a dozen or so people standing 19 around with drinks in their hands, before sitting down to 20 dinner. 21 And there was a man by the name of Grady Wooley, 22 who was to become very wealthy in the insurance business, **2**3 after leaving office, not in it, I hasten to say, and who 24 had served as sheriff of my county.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

And he was presented to the President as Grady

Wooley, ex-sheriff of Union County, Arkansas. Whereupon, he drew himself up in righteous indignation and said, "Mr. President, I am not an ex-sheriff. An ex-sheriff is someone who has been voted out of office. A former sheriff is one who has retired honorably."

(Laughter.)

Now, we've had some amendments and adjustments to make in the Council's government. Bill Haynes and I determined the best way to deal with that was to appoint a committee consisting of the living, former Chairman of the Council, and Mr. Collis Chandler, my immediate predecessor, will present this committee's report. Collis?

MR. CHANDLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As the Chairman indicated, the Special Committee had two primary objectives. The first was to make necessary changes in the wording of the articles to reflect the transfer of the National Petroleum Council from the Department of Interior to the Department of Energy.

Secondly, the Chairman requested the Committee
to propose any other amendments that would improve the
operation of the Council. The amendments proposed by the
Committee were provided each member of the Council on
May 9 of this year, and a copy of the amendments are also
in the handout provided to you this morning.

The amendments to reflect the transfer to the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

_ 18

Department of Energy are numerous but, since they represent technical implementation of May 25, 1978 resolution, we do not consider it necessary to review them individually.

In addition to the technical amendments, the Committee proposes five amendments. The first is to delete in its entirety, the second sentence of Section 2.2. This sentence was added to the articles by amendment at the March 22, 1963 meeting of the Council, and specifically reflected the provisions contained in the then newly issued Executive Order 11007.

In 1973, the Federal Advisory Committee Act replaced Executive Order 11007, and neither the Federal Advisory Committee Act or its implementing regulations retain this specific provision, and the Committee concluded it is no longer necessary to embody it in the Council's Articles of Organization.

Secondly, in Section 4.1, the first meeting after the appointment of the membership is designated as the organizational meeting of the Council. There are several other sections which specify the election of officers, Agenda and Finance Committee members and approval of the annual budget which will occur at the organizational meeting.

Because the membership may be appointed for terms of more than one year, the Committee recommends that the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(

(

•

· 18

organizational meeting be redefined as the first meeting in each calendar year. This redefinition is contained in Section 4.1 and requires additional technical amendments to Sections 5.4 and 8.1.

The Committee's third recommendation is that Section 5-2 and 5-3 be amended to provide the Council Chairman the authority to make interim appointments to vacancies on the Agenda and Finance Committees.

Next, the Committee recommends that Section 6-4 be amended to provide that members of study committees shall be selected from Council members. The Special Committee concludes that this amendment is appropriate because of the important role of the study committees.

Membership on study subcommittees and the task groups is not limited to Council members, by this amendment.

Finally, the Committee recommends the addition of a new article to provide the mechanism whereby the Council may determine in the future, disposition of its records and assets if, at anytime in the future, the Secretary of Energy should determine that he does not wish to continue to utilize the Council.

The Committee feels that such a determination is highly unlikely, particularly in light of the Council's long history of valuable service and current high level of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

_

•

(

.

t

(:

activity. However, the Committee recognizes the appro-1 priateness of such a provision in the Articles of Organiza-2 3 tion of any group such as the Council. Mr. Chairman, the Special Committee concludes 4 5 that these are proper and desirable amendments, and move 6 that they be adopted by the Council. 7 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there a second? 8 VOICE: Second. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Questions or discussion. 9 10 sir? 11 MR. NORDLICHT: My name is Ira Nordlicht, and I'm a member just of a couple of days, so I hope you will 12 grant me dispensation in case I stumble over any procedure. 13 14 When I read over the material that was very kindly provided, when I came to this provision which said 15 to delete "Neither the Council nor any of its committees 16 17. shall receive, compile or discuss data or reports showing - 18 the current or projected commercial operations of identified enterprises", and I read the explanation about whether 19 20 it would be required anymore. And yesterday evening at the reception, I asked 21 a few people why it was being deleted, and they mentioned 22 23 that it prohibited the legal exchange of information. So, I went back where I was staying last night and read it 24 25 again, and decided, in fact, it does prohibit the legal

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

NEAL R. GROSS

exchange of information, but it also prohibits illegal exchange of information.

And so, I started thinking some more about it, and it struck me that any organization that was composed of competitors might want to have some sort of policy, or some language that prohibits the legal exchange of information.

And also, it dawned on me that at some later date, somebody might look at this and say, "Gee, you people deleted this", and I wonder why.

So, I think I would sort of suggest that maybe some revision to this language be made, or some substitute language be provided, but that just this language being deleted might not be advisable.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do you wish to respond, Mr. Chandler?

MR. CHANDLER: Yes. I guess I would have three points. One is, the language is obsolete in terms of required language. Number two, a strict interpretation of those words -- and I admit, I think the Committee is somewhat at a loss to understand those words -- but a strict interpretation of those words would preclude the collection of even publicly, such things as the number of drilling rigs operating, wells drilled, production volume, and so forth.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

5

· 18

.

A third point is -- and, in fact, the DOE does request that the Council assemble data relative to the different studies. And I guess a fourth point is that whether or not the words are included in the articles or not included does not bear on the question of the illegality of the actions of the members of this Council.

MR. NORDLICHT: May I comment?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Indeed, so.

MR. NORDLICHT: I realize that it is not required, I guess I just think it is a matter of good policy when you have competitors in an organization.

Second, I think that we both agree that the language prohibits perfectly proper exchange. And my only concern is that there be some sort of statement of policy, in one form or another, that puts this organization on the record that it is not in favor of any illegal exchange of information, which the language also describes. And in terms of the data -- please correct me if I'm wrong -- but I understand that data collected for studies usually is aggregated so there wouldn't be any competitor difficulties.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are there other comments?

MR. ANDERSON: It's a point well taken. We certainly don't want to be ambiguous about it. The Council has scrupulously tried for years to observe both

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW

the spirit and the intent of the articles. 1 In my experience, it's abso-CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 2 lutely ridiculous, collectively and as far as individual 3 members are concerned. 4 MR. NORDLICHT: Is there anybody here from the 5 Justice Department today? 6 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: No, but our own counsel is 7 Is Mr. Webster here? 8 here. MR. WEBSTER: I'm here. 9

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Would you like to address a question to our own counsel? He has given this his best effort.

MR. ANDERSON: I'm just concerned that if omitting it, it certainly is our intent, whether we should address this question. I think we can go ahead and address it. I think we could provisionally prove it, but maybe we ought to take a look at it.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Would you comment, Mr. Webster?

MR. WEBSTER: Perhaps I could make one additional point, Mr. Chairman. First, of course, this does not signal a change in policy. The intention of the Council always has been, as Chairman Murphy said, its accumulation of data. Mainly, the motivating force here was, particularly in view of recent letters from the Department of Energy, which has specifically required collection of data

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(

(

(

in one study, and implicitly required the collection of data in another study.

we felt this language was inappropriate to have in the articles, and inefficient, but that does not mean that there is a change in the policy of the Council with respect to the collection of data. And to the extent that data is collected from the public sources, of course, that would be permitted.

And a number of us feel that it is unfortunate that the language is as restrictive as it is from the beginning, to the extent that data_is collected from individual members. I think, almost without exception, that has been done and, to the extent to which there has been a buffer protecting the dissemination of that data from one member to another has been done in two ways -- it has either been done by the staff so that the questionnaire is received by the staff, and the staff assembles the data and destroys the initial submission, or as done in the current studies, in recent studies, it was done by an independent organization -- in this case, two or three accounting firms. Again, they are not to release the individual responses to any member, and they aggregate that according to predetermined instructions.

We felt that the additional flexibility, therefore, was needed to have the Council even collect data from

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

`.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(__

(

1

,

other sources or from individual members, particularly when it is specifically or implicitly requested to do so.

Again, this is not a signal of change in the policy of the Council.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Let me recognize Mr. Rosapepe.

MR. ROSAPEPE: Thank you. I would like to add

the points, I guess, that I think Mr. Nordlicht and Mr.

Anderson are making in some indirect way.

This question may be more relevantly directed to not its public relations counsel but to its legal counsel. The fact of the matter is that in my brief exposure with council over the last year, I have been very impressed with the scrupulousness with which the members of the Council and the staff of the Council to take seriously the prohibition of avoiding specifically illegal and generally active competitive behavior.

And taking this language out -- and I couldn't agree more that it is a blunderbuss, too broad, not the right language -- without putting anything back in to state the policy of the Council, it looks real bad.

As you know, I probably spend more time with those who are suspicious of the industry than perhaps some of the rest of you do, and it just opens the Council up to needless attack. And I don't think you have to defend the current language to say there could be language

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

`.

(

(

.

-22

that could be developed that would state the policy of the Council, which is the policy of the Council, and the Council ought to get credit for it. I'm not sure if you just take it out, that you're not opening yourself up to criticism that we don't need. 5 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes, Mr. Nordlicht? 6 MR. NORDLICHT: That's pretty much what I was 7 saying. From what I understand, even though my experience 8 is only two days and it's all hearsay, that the Council 9 has been very scrupulous, as Mr. Rosapepe describes, but 10 I mean this is really -- whether it's intended or not --11 I mean, to have the deletion of a phrase which describes 12 -- I don't know the procedure, and I don't want to cut off 13 the debate either, but at some point I would like to make 14 a motion to have this language recommitted. So, whenever

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I will entertain such a motion if you wish to offer it. And your motion now is to -you would amend the Committee resolution which is before the house, by requiring that the language referred to be remanded to the Committee for further study.

> That's correct. MR. NORDLICHT:

Is there a second to that CHAIRMAN MURPHY:

24 motion?

1

2

3

4

15

16

17

- 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Second. VOICE:

you feel that is appropriate --

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

In the

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The Chair hears a second. 1 What is before the house, ladies and gentlemen --2 MR. CHANDLER: May I comment, Mr. Chairman? 3 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes. MR. CHANDLER: The Executive Director of the 5 Council, Marshall just pointed out to me that there are 6 two other provisions in the article that bear on this 7 question of propriety -- Section 1.2 -- and I will read 8 it again, "The Council in its organization and operation 9 shall be governed by all applicable statutes and regula-10 tions". 11 The second section that bears on the question of 12 propriety is Section 4-3 which says; "No meeting of the 13 Council shall be held unless the Government Co-Chairman 14. approves the agenda thereof, approves the calling thereof, 15 and is present thereat. The Government Co-Chairman may 16 call any meeting of the Council to a close if he considers 17 such action to be in the public interest". - 18 And I would just point out to you that there 19 20 are other words. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does that relieve your appre-21 22 hension any, sir? MR. NORDLICHT: Actually, not at all. 23 first case, it is a general prescription and, of course, 24

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

when there is something very general, everybody always

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

looks to specific language that's more on point.

And the second item, it's nice to be able to have that section in there, but what we're really talking about is regarding some of the most sensitive concerns and some of the most sensitive charges that have been leveled against the industry -- and I suspect, at one time or another, against the Council -- and, frankly, what this says, if you take it out and somebody looks back and says, "Well, what's the policy in these articles", and they'll say, "At one point they said they couldn't exchange information and then they decided to take it out". where they are going to look. I don't want to be in that sort of a situation.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are there other comments on the resolution to amend the motion?

MR. ANDERSON: Would it suffice to have the Chair state that after discussion, if the Chair pointed out that this in no way alters or changes any of the existing ethical standards of high conduct of the Council?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, I could make that statement with great emphasis --

MR. ANDERSON: Put it in the minutes, but --I'll try to be conscientious CHAIRMAN MURPHY: here in providing for orderly procedures and free discussion, without trying to inflict my personal views on these

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

19

· 18

20

21

22

23

24

matters, but I have been a member of this Council for more years than I'd like to remember, and I take the present responsibility pretty seriously, and I think I can conscientiously say, both on experience and present responsibility, that this Council, collectively and so far as individual members are concerned, are as pure as the driven snow.

Now, we're going to act on this amendment. Those who --

MR. WEBSTER: May I make one other comment here?
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Indeed so.

MR. WEBSTER: Just briefly, first of all, I've never heard any such charges leveled at the Council, and I have been with the Council for five or six years, and historically have been briefed on the Council's activities for a long time, and I would like to elaborate on one point. The Chairman and I feel you have to grasp the full weight of the cooperation the Council has with the U.S. government, and that is the point I wish to make.

Of course, the membership is appointed by the Federal government. The Federal government provides a Co-Chairman to the Council and provides a Co-Chairman to every committee, task group, task force, whatever level, and approves the agenda of all the committees involved, and it has a government co-chairman who could end any meeting

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

202) 214.4433

which the Co-Chairman deems not in the public interest. Beyond that, the Sunshine provision provides public notice of the meetings and recordation of the meetings, and transcripts of any and all minutes. Therefore, the balance of the Sunshine provision and the cooperation of the government also moot the occurrence of any improprietary on the substraction of this provision, if it was not appropriate to put it in in the beginning. And that is one more reason to suggest it would be appropriate to remove it, as long as the requirement is no longer outstanding that caused it to be embodied in the first place. It was never in the Articles of Orgnization of the Council from the time of the Council's inception until 11007 was passed, and some of the reasons for it being left on, 11007 was applicable to other organizations, but not to this one.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Further opinions or suggestions?

MR. O'SHICK: I don't have an opinion, but I

have a question. Will the effect of this motion be to not change this Section 2.2, or would we later be voting on the change to this?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, the amendment would remand this particular section to the Special Committee, and then we would, presumably at the next meeting, have a recommendation from them either that they're standing by

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005

25

202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.

14 -

0

their guns that it's appropriate, or reflecting that they have considered this, the arguments here and elsewhere --

MR. HEFNER: I have just one comment. It seems to me that if the question of impropriety is raised in any serious-minded person by the removal of that language, that it is worth sending back to the Committee to relieve us of that question.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Bookout?

MR. BOOKOUT: Mr. Chairman, I have long enjoyed being a pay-free lawyer. It is not my suggestion, but it seems like a good one. It was proposed by a non-member but, as a member, I will submit it for consideration, and that is that we don't remove this at all, and after the word "shall", add "as prohibited by law". In other words, "Neither the Council nor any of its committees shall, as prohibited by law, receive, compile or discuss" -- would that satisfy you?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Nordlicht?

MR. NORDLICHT: I really hate to give some sort of an opinion. I'd rather give it back to the experts to spend time on it rather than make a snap judgment on it. If really forced to, I suppose I could think of something, but --

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: But you're not willing to withdraw your motion on the condition that this be modified?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1 MR. NORDLICHT: That's right. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes, sir? MR. BOOKOUT: Mr. Chairman, I merely meant to 3 offer it as a suggestion simply since some concern about 4 removing this statement was expressed, that perhaps there 5 is a way to retain the statement and still repair it. 6 MR. NORDLICHT: That's perfectly fine, but I 7 8 don't think we should do it right here. 9 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All right. We are going to vote this up or down in just a minute, but I might empha-10 size here that if the amendment is voted down and we then 11 adopt the report, I think it's absolutely clear that there 12 are no legal implications and no legal clout whatever. 13 What we are concerned about is the public rela-14 15 tions abstract and the appearance. Now, if you wish to adopt the amendment remanding 16 17 this particular section to the Special Committee, then the · 18 Special Committee can take into account the suggestion of 19 Mr. Bookout as put before the house on behalf of a non-20 member. 21 Are there other suggestions or questions? 22 MR. O'SHIELDS: If you don't knock this section 23 out and we carry it forward to the request that the Secre-24 tary has just submitted to us --25 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, I guess and answer to NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1 that is that we are winding up four reports now --(laughter) -- but aside from the usual caution, I think 2 3 this one has been aggregated. Mr. McAfee's committee has spent \$700,000 of the Council's money in getting this information aggregated. 5 I think that probably would have been done anyway, but it 6 is a little silly to deny to the Council study committees, 7 8 information that is already in the public domain. That 9 is a bit ludicrous. 10 MR. NORDLICHT: I have no problem with that, it 11 is the rest of it. 12 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I think the question before 13 the house is clear. Those who favor adoption of the amend-14 ment to the Committee resolution, let it be known by 15 raising your right hand. 16 (Show of hands.) 17 Those who favor rejection CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 13. 18 of the amendment, hold your right hand up. 19 (Show of hands.) 20 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 20. The amendment fails. 21 will now turn to action on the Committee resolution. 22 there further discussion of the unimpaired recommendation 23 of the Committee? 24 (No response.) 25 If not, those who favor adoption of the Special

į

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Committee's recommendation, let it be known by saying
2	"aye".
3	(Chorus of "ayes".)
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Contrary, "no".
5	MR. NORDLICHT: No.
6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We have one "no" vote, and
7	I think it would be proper to record that it is Mr.
8	Nordlicht.
9	Thank you very much, Mr. Chandler.
10	MR. CHANDLER: May we former sheriffs of
11	Nottingham retire?
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes. Your work having been
13	completed, the Chair declares your committee resolved,
14 -	with great gratitude for having come back into the
15	MR. CHANDLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The remaining matter is the
17	report of the Nominating Committee. Mr. Anderson?
18	MR. ANDERSON: Secretary Davis, Mr. Chairman,
19	the members of the Committee serving with me are Jack
20	Abernathy, F. D. Gottwald, Jr., Fred Hartley, Ruth J.
21	Hinerfeld, George F. Kirby, Jerry McAfec and Dean McGee,
2 2	Frank Pitts and John Swearingen.
2 3	The Nominating Committee is in unanimous agree-
24	ment that the current officers and standing committee
2 5	members have served the Council well, and should be NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

nominated for election to a second one-year term.

In the meeting this morning, after due deliberation, considered nominations to vacancies on the Agenda and Appointment Committees, which have occurred since the 1979 election, are expected in the very near future.

Accordingly, the Nominating Committee recommends the re-election of Charles Murphy as Chairman, Bill Haynes as Vice Chairman.

The Committee also recommended the following individuals to serve as members of the Agenda Committee -- Jack M. Allen, John A. Carver, Jr., Edwin L. Cox, C. C. Garver, Jr., Fred L. Hartley, Robert Mosbacher, R. L. O'Shields, Robert V. Sellers, Rawleigh Warner, Jr., Alton W. Whitehouse, Jr., and W. F. Martin as Chairman.

For the Appointment Committee, the Nominating
Committee recommends Harold D. Hoopman as Chairman, John
F. Bookout, James W. Emison, Frederic. C. Hamilton, Mary
Hudson, Thomas L. Kimball, Jeff Montgomery, James C.
Rosapepe, Robert E. Seymour, J. J. Simmons, Jr. and Robert
E. Yancey as members.

Mr. Chairman, this completes the report of the Nominating Committee, and I move the Council elect the individuals recommended by the Committee for Chairman, Vice Chairman and members of the Agenda and Appointment Committees.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 18

(

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there a second to the 1 motion? 2 VOICE: Second. 3 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, I don't want to cut this off, I'm trying to keep a job here. As I told the 5 Nominating Committee this morning the pay is so lucrative 6 that I'm very easy to --MR. ANDERSON: Question. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Those who favor adoption of 9 the Nominating Committee's report, let it be known by 10 saying "aye". 11 (Chorus of "ayes".) 12 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there further business 13 to come before this august body? 14 MR. MASSELLI: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if I 15 might revisit the issue that we have just discussed, move 16 that the Council direct the Chairman and the staff to 17 prepare, for the Council's review at the next meeting, a . 18 statement of policy on the selection and dissemination of 19 I think that would be a worthwhile endeavor. data. 20 In view of the discussion CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 21 here -- and we will take the congressional approach of 22 considering legislative history -- the Chair will adopt 23 that, and we will ask the staff and Council to respond 24 in an appropriate way, and that will be discussed -- not 25 NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

as a Committee matter, but simply in a plenary discussion 1 at the next meeting of the Council. A sound suggestion, 2 Mr. Masselli. 3 Is there anything else? Dr. Davis, are you ready to discharge us? 5 ASSISTANT SECRETARY DAVIS: I am, indeed. 6 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you for your patience 7 8 and cooperation. The Committee Chairmen are asked to hang around 9 for a few minutes in case the trade press wants to ask 10 11 any questions. Thank you. The meeting is adjourned. 12 (Whereupon, at 11:15 a.m., the meeting of the 13 National Petroleum Council was adjourned.) 14 15 16 17 · 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

This is to certify that the proceedings of the meeting of the National Petroleum Council were held in the Auditorium, Forrestal Building, L'Enfant Plaza, Washington, D. C., on Wednesday, June 11, 1980, as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof.

5

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005